COUNCIL - 21.11.2018

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 21 NOVEMBER 2018

COUNCILLORS

- PRESENT Saray Karakus (Mayor), Kate Anolue (Deputy Mayor), Huseyin Akpinar, Maria Alexandrou, Daniel Anderson, Guner Aydin, Ian Barnes, Mahym Bedekova, Chris Bond, Yasemin Brett, Anne Brown, Alev Cazimoglu, Nesil Caliskan, Mustafa Cetinkaya, Birsen Demirel, Clare De Silva, Guney Dogan, Elif Erbil, Ergin Erbil, Susan Erbil, Achilleas Georgiou, Alessandro Georgiou, Margaret Greer, Charith Gunawardena, Christine Hamilton, Ahmet Hasan, Elaine Hayward, Stephanos Ioannou, Rick Jewell, Nneka Keazor, Joanne Laban, Bernadette Lappage, Dino Lemonides, Tim Leaver, Mary Maguire, Andv Milne, Gina Needs, Terence Neville OBE JP, Ayfer Orhan, Sabri Ozaydin, Vicki Pite, Lindsay Rawlings, Michael Rye OBE, George Savva MBE, Edward Smith, Jim Steven, Claire Stewart, Doug Taylor, Mahtab Uddin, Glynis Vince and Hass Yusuf
- ABSENT Mahmut Aksanoglu, Tolga Aramaz, Dinah Barry, Sinan Boztas, Katherine Chibah, Will Coleshill, Lee David-Sanders, Chris Dey, Ergun Eren, Derek Levy and Ahmet Oykener

1 THE MAYOR'S CHAPLAIN TO GIVE A BLESSING

The Enfield Harmony Choir sang Stevie Wonder's Love's in need of love tonight in place of the usual blessing.

2

MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE ORDINARY BUSINESS OF THE COUNCIL

The Mayor began by saying good evening and welcoming everyone to the last meeting of 2018. She continued to embrace her mayoral duties with great pride.

1. Engagements since the last meeting

1.1 Mayoral Events – The Mayor attended the following events:

- Sixth Annual MAD Golf event evening dinner
- Harvest Festival at Houndsfield Road
- Town Show at Salisbury House
- Forty Hall Exhibition
- KRATOS Chef School Event at Civic Centre
- Jobs, Apprenticeships and Careers Fair at Southbury Leisure Centre
- Production of Jekyll & Hyde at Millfield

- Supreme Boxing Club Charity Event
- Passing Moments Exhibition at Dugdale Centre on behalf of Patrick Samuel (Local Artist)
- Enfield Clubhouse AGM at Community House
- Visit to Clay Hill Singers Community Choir
- Silver Sunday Sanctuary Care Celebration
- Enfield Voluntary Action (EVA) AGM
- Lord Mayors Association Annual Civic Service in Westminster Abbey
- Annual London Boroughs Mayors Service St Pauls Cathedral
- Black History Month Event Dugdale
- Weekly Community Gathering for over 50's at Kervan Restaurant
- Ruth Winston AGM
- Attended Ordnance Road Breakfast Club
- Bozca Der Charity 10th Anniversary
- Wellbeing Showcase Event
- Tour of Community House
- Rotary Club Lunch
- Crocus Planting at Forty Hall with Local School Children c/o Edmonton Rotary Club and Enfield Council Parks Dept.
- Tour of Claverings Industrial Estate CCTV Centre
- Loving Local Launch Event
- Remembrance Day Services
- Southgate Progressive 70th anniversary
- Age UK AGM
- Annual Long Service Awards
- Visit to Courbevoie
- Waverley Gateway Club 60th Anniversary

1.2 Deputy Mayoral Events - The Deputy Mayor attended the following events:

- 20th anniversary event at West Grove School
- Enfield Vision Focus Day
- Face Front Inclusive Theatre Production of "Whisper Me Happy Ever After"
- Bright Horizon's Preschool Nursery Opening
- Windrush event at the Intimate Theatre
- Achievement Day at Ponders End Youth Centre
- Enfield Racial Equality Council (EREC) Black History month event
- Enfield Caribbean Association Black History Event
- Southgate Remembrance Day Parade
- Broomfield Park Remembrance Day
- Palmers Green Christmas Tree Lights Event
- Enfield In Bloom Awards
- Ajex Annual Commemoration Service

2. Citizenship Ceremonies

The Mayor said that the Citizenship ceremonies were still as busy as ever and that she was still enjoying meeting new people from different backgrounds.

She was always humbled by the number of events that she was involved with especially with the voluntary sector organisations in the Borough.

She thanked the Deputy Mayor, Councillor Kate Anolue for working with and supporting her, and also for her close work with the Mayor's Office.

3. Town Twinning Visit to Courbevoie, France

The Mayor said that her visit to Courbevoie in France last week had been amazing. She had been to celebrate 25 years of town twinning friendship between Enfield and Courbevoie, especially poignant on the weekend of Remembrance. She had been delighted to be accompanied by colleagues and veterans representing the armed forces. Ian Cameron-Black (Chairman of the Trustees of Southgate Cultural Funds), Brian Wilson and Jackie Pinney of the British Legion, together with Councillors Lindsay Rawlings and Paul Everitt, Head of Leisure and Culture.

They had planted an oak tree in front of the Courbevoie Town Hall to mark the centenary of the armistice and in honour of their friendship. Courbevoie had done everything they could to provide them with an enjoyable and memorable visit and she, Councillor Rawlings and Paul Everitt, with the support of many members were looking forward to hosting a German and French delegation in March 2019, for the Lambing Festival at Forty Hall. She felt that it was very important to pass on, to the next generation, the knowledge of benefits that international friendship could bring, so that these visits could have a permanent impact and would leave something positive behind.

4. Thank you

The Mayor ended by saying that she was so happy to be in an atmosphere with such a marvellous team in the Mayor's office, all working together to achieve great things. They had received some incredible feedback from residents and the people that they had dealt with and she felt that there was a lot of support for her cause.

She looked forward to seeing as many of the members as possible at the Winter Gala at Forty Hall on Friday, 23 November 2018.

She wished everyone a pleasant evening and a wonderful and glorious Christmas.

3 MINUTES

3.1 Minutes of the Meeting held on 20 September 2018

The minutes of the meeting held on 20 September 2018 were received and agreed as a correct record.

3.2 Minutes of the Extraordinary Council Meeting held on 30 October 2018

The minutes of the extraordinary meeting held on 30 October 2018 were received and agreed as a correct record.

4 APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Aramaz, Aydin, Eren, Ioannou, Oykener, Pite and Vince and for lateness from Councillors Akpinar, Alexandrou, Achilleas Georgiou and Keazor.

5 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Councillor Brett declared a non-pecuniary interest in the Item 9 on the North London Waste Plan.

6

OPPOSITION PRIORITY BUSINESS - THE LOCAL PLAN AND HOUSING DELIVERY

Councillor Smith introduced the issues paper, prepared by the Opposition Group.

- 1. Issues highlighted by Councillor Smith were as follows:
 - The Local Plan, which was about to go out on consultation, was an important document which would shape the future development in the borough until 2036. If we got it wrong, there would be consequences.
 - His feeling that the Labour administration believed that the borough's population was due to rise substantially, increasing housing need, leading to the need for more building. His concern that the administration were proposing to allow building on the green belt in areas such as Crews Hill, to increase back land development and to permit high rise blocks in town centres.
 - The view that building on green belt land would not conform to the Mayor of London's draft London Plan. Opposition members queried

therefore why such proposals had been included in the consultation document.

- It was felt that the main reason for the housing shortage was due to Council's failures, including delays to large regeneration schemes such as Meridian Water and even to the small housing site schemes.
- Concern about the possibility of enormous fines if the Meridian Water Station development was not completed by May 2019.
- Fear that these new proposals, if they went ahead would change, for the worse, the character of Enfield for ever and would damage Enfield residents' quality of life.
- 2. Councillor Caliskan, the Leader of the Council, responded on behalf of the Majority Group highlighting:
 - The Council were required to update the local plan under the National Planning Policy Framework. Under the new plan, Enfield would need to increase house building targets to 1,900 properties per year, up from the 798 currently required and in contrast to the Government's figure of 3,500. Many more houses were needed.
 - She argued that there was no point questioning population growth figures or thinking that migration would be reduced because of Brexit, as most migration was domestic; people would continue to come to London whether Brexit happened or not. All predictions indicated that population would continue to grow.
 - Without a good plan, it would not be possible to control or shape development in the borough. Over the past 15 years the average price of houses in the borough had increased from £160,000 to £460,000, and average rents by £400. Too many people could not afford the cost of housing. Over 3,000 people were on the temporary housing register. Half of all council housing had been lost since 1979. New development was essential. Work was also needed to tackle climate change, to improve infrastructure, to enable society to grow and prosper.
 - The local plan was due to be published as part of the start of a 12-week consultation, at the options stage. The Council was seeking the views of the public, before finalising the plan for submission. It was a call for sites and a call for ideas from residents and other stakeholders.
 - The designation of land as Strategic Industrial Land (SIL) was an issue, but the Labour administration had already assembled 66% of the land at Meridian Water with a view to help to address these problems. The administration were working with the GLA and were fully committed to providing 50% affordable homes on the site.

- Meridian Water on its own, however, could not accommodate all the homes needed and other sites would be required. The Council were looking at the possibilities from brownfield sites, around town centres, but also places near transport hubs.
- To put things in perspective forty percent of the borough was green belt, the transport hub identified on the green belt equates to 5% of this. The Leader felt that it was right to value green spaces that is we must have a local plan that controls growth and allows good growth. We must also value the people living in Enfield and consider that one in three children were living in poverty in Edmonton and many people could not afford housing. The Council's aim was to enable the creation of affordable high quality and attractive developments. This last was also the purpose of the design panel.
- This was a local plan, for local people, to be shaped by local people, to enable growth in Enfield so that everyone could fulfil their potential.
- 3. Other issues highlighted during the debate were as follows:
- a. The need highlighted by the members of the Opposition Group:
 - To acknowledge that it had been a Conservative administration that had started work on Meridian Water, but that 8 years later no bricks had been laid, was down to the Labour administration.
 - To acknowledge that the Labour administration should have appealed against the GLA designation of strategic industrial land at Meridian Water in 2014.
 - To understand that many people were concerned about building on green belt land. The Mayor of London had a zero-tolerance policy to building on it and the opposition believed that these proposals would put the Council on a collision course with this policy.
 - To recognise that the green belt was valued as it made Enfield an attractive place to live and work. It was the lungs of Enfield. A means of improving air quality. It should be protected. It prevented urban sprawl and should assist urban regeneration.
 - The view that sites for housing should be prioritised along the route of the Cross Rail 2 rather than in the Green Belt.
 - Concern that currently only 550 houses were being built a year due what the opposition saw as a failure of the Labour administration. Concern about poor people living in terrible conditions with rogue landlords because of housing shortages.
- b. The need highlighted by members of the Majority Group:

- To acknowledge that the local plan was at the first stage of a consultation process and it was important that residents were given the opportunity to express their views on all the possible options so that the Council could get the plan right. There would also be further opportunities for engagement before the plan was finally agreed in 2021.
- To recognise that there was a desperate shortage of really affordable housing in the borough and that every option had to be explored. Housing demand outstripped supply. Over the last 5 years only 550 houses had been built per year and this needed to be increased to 2,000 3,500. It was inevitable that the Green Belt land would be considered, as it made up over a third of the borough.
- 4. At the end of the debate Councillor Smith summed up on behalf of the Opposition Group as follows:
 - Councillor Smith reiterated the recommendations in the opposition business paper. He felt that there should be an independent review of the council's poor performance, a comprehensive strategic review on population growth after the European Union negotiations had been concluded, that the draft local plan should be revised to prohibit any development in the green belt and that it should be kept under review in the light of housing projections and improvements to housing delivery.
- 5. Councillor Caliskan then summed up on behalf of the majority group responding to the recommendations in the Opposition Priority Business Paper:

Councillor Caliskan said that she had read the paper and listened to the debate but felt that the opposition were only interested in developing a Local Plan for the few, at the expense of the many, that they were fear mongering about the green belt, spreading inaccurate information about the local plan which was at the consultation stage. The Opposition had ignored the evidence of the desperate need for more housing, whether Brexit happened or not. The local plan needed to represent a step change. There was a need to be ambitious, but also holistic, to look at everything. Without a local plan, there would be uncontrolled development. This administration wanted good growth.

Following the debate, the Opposition requested a vote on the Leader's response. The vote was held with the following result:

For: 37 Against: 13 Abstentions: 0

7

ENFIELD'S GAMBLING ACT POLICY AND NO CASINO RESOLUTION

Councillor Dogan moved and Councillor Bond seconded a report from the Director of Environment and Operational Services requesting Council approval of the Gambling Act Policy and no casino resolution. (Report No: 118).

NOTED

- 1. The Council had a statutory duty to consult on the Gambling Act 2005 licencing policy every three years and could also re-consider their no casino resolution.
- 2. The principle of the gambling act was that activities may be permitted unless there were strong reasons against.
- 3. The Council was obliged to ensure that premises were run fairly and safely.
- 4. Some minor changes had been made to the policy and the local area profile would now be presented separately, alongside the policy.
- 5. The local area profile has been updated and this now included data related to sensitive buildings such as schools and religious establishments to help protect young and other vulnerable people from exploitation
- 6. That the number of problem gamblers had grown hugely in recent years. Recent reports cited that one in seven 11-16 year olds were involved in gambling.
- 7. Gambling could cause enormous damage to people's lives.
- 8. Some concern that the consultation had taken place during the summer period.

At this point Councillor Erbin Erbil moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded the procedural motion 14.11 (a) (ii) that the question now be put.

This motion was voted on and agreed with the following result:

For: 37 Against: 13 Abstentions: 0

The report was then put to the vote and agreed with the following result:

For: 49 Against: 0 Abstentions: 1

AGREED

1. To note the results of the public consultation and amendments made to the proposed statement of principles, under the Gambling Act 2005.

2. To approve the statement of principles, under the Gambling Act 2005, attached as Annex 1 and the Local Area Profile attached as Annex 2 to the report.

3. To resolve not to issue casino premises licences, under the Gambling Act 2005.

8

ANNUAL TREASURY MANAGEMENT MID YEAR REVIEW 2018/19

Councillor Maguire moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded a report of the Director of Finance reviewing the activities of the Council's treasury management function over the half year period to 30 September 2018. (Report No: 107)

NOTED

- 1. That Cabinet had approved the report and recommended it to Council at their meeting on 14 November 2018.
- 2. The report detailed the money borrowed and invested by the Council over the first 6 months of the financial year.
- 3. Table 1 on page 123 of the agenda detailed the current borrowing and Table 2 the amount loaned by the Council to its companies.
- 4. The amount of borrowing was carefully controlled within set limits and the Council only borrowed what it needed. Table 3 detailed the Council's investments. These are secure and elicit the best returns.
- 5. The interest rate was currently low, so it made sense to borrow.
- 6. The Council has £750m worth of loans, an increase of £50m since April 2018. The authority borrowed £100m of which £47m was used to replace maturing debt. And £53m was applied to fund new capital expenditure".
- 7. The Council has 86 loans spread over 50 years the average maturity being 27 years. The average rate of interest was 3.4%.
- 8. No rescheduling had been done during the year.
- 9. Net borrowing was likely to increase due to the uncertainty caused by Brexit.
- 10. The report confirms the steady position of the Council, which was taking a responsible approach to borrowing, investing for the future.
- 11. Concern on the part of the Opposition about the extent of current borrowing including the £8.9m for revenue spending. There was also concern that the Council was borrowing to pay staff.
- 12. Although borrowing was within the set boundary, the Council itself had set the boundary and debts would have to be repaid.
- 13. CIPFA had recently written to councils expressing concern about local authority borrowing levels.

At this point Councillor Erbin Erbil moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded the procedural motion 14.11 (a) (ii) that the question now be put.

This motion was voted on and agreed with the following result:

For: 37 Against: 13 Abstentions: 0

The report was then put to the vote and agreed with the following result:

For: 37 Against: 13 Abstentions: 0

AGREED to approve the 2018.19 mid-year treasury position as set out in the report.

9

NORTH LONDON WASTE PLAN REGULATION 19 PUBLICATION

Councillor Caliskan moved and Councillor Savva seconded a report of the Executive Director Place on the North London Waste Plan Regulation 19 Publication. (Report No: 108)

NOTED

- 1. That Cabinet had approved the report and recommended it to Council at their meeting on 14 November 2018.
- 2. That the waste plan was a policy document that Enfield had worked on together with six other North London boroughs. It would help ensure that future waste development takes place in the right place and that in future there would be grounds to reject inappropriate proposals.
- 3. Without a plan the Council could have to allow waste developments in sites set aside for Cross Rail 2 or Meridian Water for example.
- 4. Council were being asked to agree to putting the plan out for a final round of consultation, before the plan was submitted to the Independent Planning Inspectorate.
- 5. Officers were to be congratulated as they had worked hard to ensure that this plan would work for Enfield residents.
- 6. Any new waste site proposals would still have to go through the full planning permission process. The plan would not approve new waste proposals. There were no plans for additional waste facilities in Enfield.
- 7. If there were any changes proposed, the Council would have the chance to review the plan.
- 8. Concern from the Opposition Group that although there were now fewer waste sites proposed for Enfield than in the original plan, there were still worries about the possibility of increased traffic, especially on the North Circular Road, across the borough and the issue of wildlife on the Pinkham Way site.
- 9. That Enfield did already have a large amount of land given over to waste because of the North London Waste site in Edmonton, but that this plan would mean a reduction in the number of sites designated as potentially suitable for waste overall.

- 10. The Pinkham Way site was in the London Borough of Haringey, so it was felt that protesters should be addressing their concerns to the relevant local authority. The Pinkham Way site was listed as strategic industrial land as well as being a site of special importance for wildlife.
- 11. Bowes ward councillors had abstained the last time that this issue had come forward, but this time there were no firm proposals for waste development. Any future proposals would still be subject to planning permission.
- 12. This plan had been over ten years in development. Mistakes were felt to have been made in the early stages by the seven boroughs, due to issues over consultation.
- 13. Concern from Opposition members that, including the Ely Estate site, a site adjacent to the Edmonton facility, would increase waste in the borough and that more effort should be made to ensure that waste development was spread more fairly around all the other boroughs.
- 14. Councillor Caliskan summed up by saying the Enfield councillors had a duty to do what was best for the residents of Enfield. The plan was a policy planning document and there was no specific proposal for any of the sites. If the local authority want to amend the plan in any way and come to an agreement Enfield Council will reconsider the plan.

Following the debate, the proposal was put to the vote and agreed with the following result:

For: 35 Against: 13 Abstentions: 1

AGREED

- 1. To approve the North London Waste Plan (set out in Annex 1) for publication and subsequent submission to the government.
- 2. To authorise that the Executive Director of Place, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Property and Assets, and in conjunction with the other North London boroughs, to submit appropriate changes to the Waste Plan in the run up to, and during, the public examination of the document.

10 INDEPENDENT PERSON - EXTENSION OF TERM OF APPOINTMENT

Councillor Ergin Erbil moved and Councillor Vince seconded a report by the Director of Law and Governance asking Council to extend the term of appointment of Sarah Jewell, Independent Person. (Report No: 85A)

NOTED

1. The Councillor Conduct Committee had recommended that the Council extend Sarah Jewell's term of appointment to 8 October 2020.

AGREED to extend the term of appointment of Sarah Jewell as Independent Person, by two years to 8 October 2020.

11

USE OF COUNCIL'S URGENCY PROCEDURES - REPORT TO COUNCIL

Councillor Caliskan moved and Councillor Ergin Erbil seconded the information provided on the use of the Council's urgency procedures involving a waiver of the call-in process.

NOTED

1. The Leader of the Opposition's concern that the urgency processes should not be used lightly and that their use should not become a regular occurrence. This sentiment was supported by the Leader.

AGREED to note the details provided of a decision on the LBE Out of Hours Service taken under the Council's urgency procedures relating to a waiver of call in, along with the reasons for urgency.

12 CHANGE IN THE ORDER OF BUSINESS

Councillor Vince moved, and Councillor Alessandro Georgiou seconded a proposal under paragraph 2.2(B) of the Council procedure rules to change the order of items on the agenda so that motion 12.6 be taken as the first motion after the urgent motions.

The change in the order was not agreed following a vote.

For: 13 Against:36 Abstentions: 0

13 MOTIONS

The Mayor has agreed to accept the urgent motion set out below for the following reason.

The reason given as to why the motion had not been submitted earlier was because the motion had been about the incidents in Edmonton over the weekend, where some shooting and multiple stabbings had occurred. Councillors have had many enquiries about the issue, and it was therefore felt that it was too important to wait until the next meeting.

Motion in the name of Cllr Terry Neville

Councillor Neville moved, and Councillor Laban seconded the following motion:

"Following the shooting on Saturday 17 November of three men in Gordon Road and the soaring level of serious crime in Enfield and across the capital, the Council expresses its dismay at the continuing lack of action on the part of the Mayor of London and the Metropolitan Police Commissioner to re-prioritise the use of police resources to tackle this most serious problem. This has led to abysmally low detection and clear up rates. Against this background the council is also concerned at the cost of policing the increasing number of marches in central London (to which officers from all over London are drafted in to the centre), and urges the Mayor to seek to re -charge a fixed percentage of the policing cost to the march organizers, which would assist in funding additional officers for front line policing.

The council also condemns the Labour administration for not taking the issue more seriously and calls upon the cabinet member for community safety to bring forward proposals for tackling the root causes of gang related violence.

It further calls on the council to either instruct the Crime Scrutiny Panel to inquire into the problem, taking evidence from all interested parties, and to make recommendations to the council within six months, or to follow the successful precedent of the Conservative administration in2004/5 and set up a Special Commission to undertake such Inquiry.

Finally, it instructs the Director of Law and Governance to write to the Sentencing Council asking them to remind sentencers of both their power to depart from Sentencing Guidelines where, as now in respect of soaring levels of violent crime and burglary, deterrent sentences are required and to remind them that such sentences are exility provided for in section 142(1)(b), Criminal Justice Act 2003."

During the debate, Councillor Ergin Erbil moved and Councillor Hasan seconded a procedural motion seconded the procedural motion 14.11 (a) (ii) that the question now be put. This was not allowed by the Mayor, as she felt that sufficient debate had not taken place.

After further debate, Councillor Ergin Erbil moved and Caliskan seconded another procedural motion 14.11 (a) (ii) that the question now be put. This was not allowed by the Mayor as she felt that sufficient debate had not taken place."

Following further debate, the motion was put to the vote and not agreed with the following result:

For: 13 Against: 36 Abstentions: 0

The Mayor agreed to accept the following urgent motion for the following reason:

The reason why the motion had not been submitted earlier was because the motion was about the incidents in Edmonton over the weekend, where a shooting and multiple stabbings occurred. The Labour Group feel that the issue was far too important to wait until the next meeting.

Motion in the name of Councillor Keazor

Councillor Keazor moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded the following motion:

"Following reports of a shooting on Saturday 17 November in Gordon Road, injuring three men; and four men being found with stab wounds on Fraser Road on Sunday 18 November, the Council expresses its deep concern about the soaring level of serious and violent crime in Enfield.

The Council is concerned that Enfield has suffered from historic levels of under resourcing to the Metropolitan Police due to Conservative Government cuts. Our borough has lost far too many Police officers from our streets over the past few years. The Government's funding cuts of £7.7 billion equate to 30% less funding than in 2010 (according to the National Audit Office). The Council calls on the Government to put an end to austerity by providing urgently needed funding to the Metropolitan Police to ensure that Policing in Enfield is properly funded and that we have adequate numbers of Police officers on our streets."

Following the debate, the motion was put to the vote and agreed with the following result:

For: 37 Against: 3 Abstentions: 10

Councillor Ergin Erbil moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded a proposal under paragraph 2.2(B) of the Council procedure rules to change the order of items on the agenda so that that motions 12.7 and 12.5 be taken together as the next item of business.

This was agreed without a vote.

Motions in the name of Councillor Keazor and Councillor Laban:

Councillor Keazor moved and Councillor Laban seconded the following joint motion:

"Enfield Council notes:

1. The obligations it owes to the Armed Forces community within Enfield as enshrined in the Armed Forces Covenant; that the Armed Forces community should not face disadvantage in the provision of services and that special consideration is appropriate in some cases, especially for those who have given the most.

- 2. The absence of definitive and comprehensive statistics on the size or demographics of the Armed Forces community within the London Borough of Enfield. This includes serving Regular and Reserve personnel, veterans, and their families.
- 3. That the availability of such data would greatly assist the council, local partner agencies, the voluntary sector, and national Government in the planning and provision of services to address the unique needs of the Armed Forces community within the London Borough of Enfield.

In light of the above, this Council moves to support and promote The Royal British Legion's call to include a new topic in the 2021 census that concerns military service and membership of the Armed Forces community. We further call upon the UK Parliament, which will approve the final census questionnaire through legislation in 2019, to ensure that the 2021 census includes questions concerning our Armed Forces community."

2018 commemorates 100 years since the end of the Great War. This chamber honours the sacrifice given by so many from our borough in that war. Their courage and bravery will never be forgotten".

Following the debate, the motions were agreed without a vote.

The following motions lapsed under the guillotine: 12.1,12.2,12.3,12.4, 12.6, 12.8,12.9, 12.10, 12.11, 12.12

14 DURATION OF TIME ALLOWED FOR THE COUNCIL MEETING

The Mayor advised, at this stage of the meeting, that the time available to complete the agenda had now elapsed so Council Procedure Rule 9 would apply. No further contribution or replies were permitted.

NOTED that in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 9 (page 4-8 – Part 4), the remaining items of business on the Council agenda were considered without debate.

15 COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME

1. Urgent Questions

The Mayor has agreed to accept the following urgent question:

The question was urgent because events had come to light since the deadline for questions had passed. The question related to Cllr Brett being dismissed, in a clandestine manner, from the Cabinet.

Question from Councillor Alessandro Georgiou to Councillor Caliskan, Leader of the Council

"Would the Leader of the Council explain why she has removed Cllr Brett as the Cabinet Member for Public Health and who has the leader appointed as the new Cabinet Member for Public Health?"

Written Response from Councillor Caliskan

"I wrote to Jeremy Chambers on Friday 16th November to inform him that I was removing the Cabinet Member for Public Health from her post from immediate effect. I did not appoint anyone else to replace her. I stated at the time that I intended to reappoint the same Councillor within two weeks. In the meantime, I have taken responsibility for the Public Health portfolio.

The reason for my decision is that our Cabinet operates on the basis of collective positions and confidence. I take the integrity of the Cabinet Structure of the Council to be very important."

2. Questions by Councillors

NOTED

- 1. The thirty nine questions on the Council agenda and the written responses provided by the relevant Cabinet Members.
- 2. The following amendment to Question 8

Question 8 from Councillor Greer to Councillor Keazor, Cabinet Member for Community Safety and Cohesion

To include the following sentences in the response to the question:

The Black History event which was organised by the Enfield UNISON Black Workers Group with both staff and members of the community present. The leader made a very positive contribution which was appreciated by those in attendance.

16 COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

There were no changes to committee memberships.

17 NOMINATIONS TO OUTSIDE BODIES

AGREED to confirm the following changes to nominations to outside bodies:

- 1. Enfield Racial Equality Council Councillor Joanne Laban to replace Councillor Will Coleshill
- 2. North London Heat and Power Project Community Liaison Group -Councillor Sinan Boztas to be appointed as the Council representative.

18 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

NOTED that the next ordinary meeting of the Council would take place on Wednesday 30 January 2019 at 7pm.